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ABSTRACT

Background: Metabolic syndrome is an asymptomatic disorder and an important risk factor for cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes. Lifestyle modification and self-management of health-promoting behaviors are the most important actions to control metabolic syndrome. This study was done to investigate the effectiveness of self-management interventions based on group Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT) on lifestyle among adults with metabolic syndrome.

Methods: This research was a randomized clinical trial study. First, 80 adults with metabolic syndrome were selected using the convenience sampling method, and then, using the randomized blockchain method, they were divided into two groups of 40 people (intervention and control groups). Data collection using the Health Promotion Lifestyle Profile II Questionnaire (HPLP II) was performed in two stages before and three months after the intervention. Teaching self-management interventions based on cognitive-behavioral therapy was done twice a week for eight 90-minute sessions. Data were analyzed using the Chi-square test, independent t-tests, and Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA). A P-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results: the results of ANCOVA showed that self-management intervention based on group CBT had a significant effect on the dimensions of lifestyle, including exercise and physical activity with an effect size of 0.51, nutrition with 0.49, health responsibility with 0.39, and stress management with 0.32 (P<0.05), but it did not significantly affect the two dimensions of self-actualization and spiritual growth (effect size= 0.003, P=0.669) and interpersonal relationships (effect size= 0.029, P=0.151).

Conclusion: self-management interventions based on group CBT can improve lifestyle and some dimensions in adults with metabolic syndrome. Identifying people with metabolic syndrome and performing effective interventions with the active participation of the individual through self-management based on cognitive-behavioral therapy seem necessary.
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1. Introduction

Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) is defined as a set of metabolic disorders based on the criteria of the Adult Treatment Panel (ATP III) released by the National Cholesterol Education Program despite at least 3 criteria of abdominal obesity, high Triglyceride (TG), low High-Density Lipoprotein (HDL), high blood pressure, and high blood sugar [1].

The prevalence of MetS in Iran is about 33%, which is far higher than the United States and Europe (25%) and many Asian countries [2]. According to the ATP III definition, metabolic syndrome is present when at least three of the following risk factors out of five factors are present: 1. Abdominal obesity (in terms of the waist): Waist Circumference (WC) equal to or more than 102 cm (40 inches) in men and equal to or more than 88 cm (35 inches) in women, according to the guidelines of the Nutrition Improvement Office of the Ministry of Health and Medical Education in Iran; WC equal to or more than 90 cm is recommended as abdominal obesity. 2. TG equal to or more than 150 mg/dl or specific treatment. 3. HDL-cholesterol less than 40 mg/dl in men and less than 50 mg/dl in women or its specific treatment. 4. Hypertension (diastolic blood pressure equal to or greater than 85 mm Hg and systolic blood pressure equal to or greater than 130 mm Hg) or taking antihypertensive drugs, and 5. Fasting Blood Sugar (FBS) equal to or greater than 100 mg/dl or taking anti-diabetic drugs [3].

The treatment of MetS is actually the treatment of its components that include hypertension, hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and obesity. The first line of treatment for MetS is lifestyle modification, i.e. having a healthy diet and regular physical activity to lose weight [4]. Wrong eating habits, inactivity, stress, race, and genetic factors are the reasons for the high prevalence of MetS [5]. People with MetS have been found to die two to three times more often from cardiovascular disease [6]. Also, the prevalence of Cardiovascular Disease (CVD), Myocardial Infarction (MI), and stroke (CVA) in patients with MetS were three times higher than obese people without this disorder [7]. Clinically, MetS is an important risk factor for progression to non-communicable and chronic diseases, such as type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease. Therefore, the clinical concept of diagnosing MetS mainly includes identifying patients who need to change their lifestyle with an emphasis on weight loss, increased physical activity, and control of blood pressure and blood lipids [3].

Health requires the promotion of a healthy lifestyle. Lifestyle is highly important because it affects the quality of life and disease prevention. To maintain and promote health, lifestyle modification and improvement are essential [8]. Many health problems, such as obesity, CVD, cancer, and addiction, which are common in most countries today, especially in developing countries, are associated with lifestyle changes. Improper lifestyle is also one of the effective factors in the incidence of chronic diseases, such as diabetes, colon cancer, hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, liver cirrhosis, gastric ulcer, AIDS, and CVD [9]. Non-communicable diseases are especially closely related to lifestyle; in other words, lifestyle is one of the important determining factors in a person’s health and illness [10]. On the other hand, health-promoting behavior as a key issue in the concept of health promotion has attracted the attention of researchers for the development of health programs [11].

Self-management of the responsibility and participation of people with chronic diseases in informed clinical decisions, cooperation with people in charge of their health, minimizing the impact of the disease on functional status, emotions, and interpersonal communication, as well as monitoring and management of the signs and symptoms of the disease, are used to minimize the frequency and consequences of exacerbations of the disease [12]. Self-management education is one of the essential points in caring for chronic conditions. Self-management has a positive effect on knowledge, repetition, accuracy, and precision of self-control of physical activity, diet behaviors, stress management, and controlling blood sugar, fat, blood pressure, and weight. Knowledge alone does not directly lead to behavior change or self-control in chronic diseases, knowledge enhancement has been identified as a prerequisite for increasing self-efficacy as well as behavior change [13]. Self-management is defined as the ability of individuals to manage the symptoms, treatment, and physical, psychological, and social consequences of the disease and lifestyle changes in order to adapt to the chronic situation [14].

The results of various studies have shown that self-management of chronic diseases can improve the level of efficiency, increase knowledge, increase self-care behaviors, improve health status, reduce visits to medical centers, and improve quality of life [15-17]. However, in another study, the implementation of a self-management program had no effect on improving self-efficacy and self-care of chronic patients [18]. In another study, the results showed that Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT) could be used to increase adherence to treatment, illness perception, and quality of life in patients with
chronic medical conditions [19]. Self-management training encourages the patient to actively participate in self-care and increase responsibility in controlling symptoms and complications, and helps the individual to maintain a great deal of independence, and increases the individual’s efficiency. This reduces patients’ dependence on medical staff and other family members, as well as treatment costs, and allows the individual to work and live in the workplace, community, and family [20].

Regarding self-care for chronic diseases, recognizing the symptoms and methods of treating the disease, recognizing negative thoughts and spontaneous or automatic thoughts is necessary, and then educating and encouraging patients to observe healthy behaviors to improve the disease or chronic conditions should be done; thus, one of the most widely used psychological therapy is CBT [21]. CBT is short-term psychotherapy that psychotherapists use to educate people and change their feelings and behaviors by changing their thought patterns and beliefs. CBT is the type of thinking and thought patterns, our knowledge about the environment and ourselves, our personal interpretation of life events, and our behaviors and feelings. In general, CBT is the way we think and how we feel; thus, our behaviors are shaped according to the same thoughts and feelings. The focus of CBT is on the impact of a person’s beliefs, thoughts, and attitudes on his or her feelings and behaviors, and the goal is to teach clients how to actively face and overcome various problems or events throughout their lives [22].

A chronic illness diagnosis often comes with high levels of uncertainty, lifestyle changes, and treatments that are costly and time-consuming. Given these stressors, it is not surprising that individuals living with chronic illness are at significantly higher risk of struggling with anxiety, depression, adjustment disorders, and other mental health concerns compared with those living without chronic illness. It is not surprising that individuals living with chronic illness are at significantly higher risk of struggling with anxiety, depression, adjustment disorders, and other mental health concerns compared with those living without chronic illness. Given these stressors, it is not surprising that individuals living with chronic illness are at significantly higher risk of struggling with anxiety, depression, adjustment disorders, and other mental health concerns compared with those living without chronic illness. Given these stressors, it is not surprising that individuals living with chronic illness are at significantly higher risk of struggling with anxiety, depression, adjustment disorders, and other mental health concerns compared with those living without chronic illness.

2. Methods

This study is a randomized clinical trial with clinical trial registration number IRCT2020050704734N1. The study population was adults above 30 years old who were referred to three comprehensive health service centers in Gonabad in 2019. Before sampling, the code of ethics was obtained (IR.GMU.REC.1398.160). Adults who met the inclusion criteria and wanted to participate in the study were selected and after providing explanations about the study and its objectives and methodology, the subjects were assured of the confidentiality of information, and they signed the informed written consent to participate in the study. The following inclusion criteria were considered: the age of between 30 and 70 years, completing the informed consent form, having MetS based on the criteria defined in the definition of MetS (based on the results of blood glucose tests and blood lipid profile in the last three months and blood pressure and WC), no history of known mental disorders, such as depression and dementia based on patient care record, having the ability to self-care, and having a minimum literacy rate. Exclusion criteria included unwillingness to continue cooperation, being absent for more than two training sessions, the need to change the medical treatment plan, and hospitalization during the study.

First, using a convenience sampling method, from three comprehensive health service centers in Gonabad and from 290 adults over 30 years of age with active care records, 88 people who were eligible for inclusion in the study were randomly selected and divided into the intervention (44 people) in the control groups (44 people). During the study, four cases from each group left the study, and finally, each group included 40 people. Random allocation of the research sample to the intervention and control groups was performed based on quadruple permuted-block randomization so that in each block, two allocations were allocated to the intervention group and two allocations to the control group. In the intervention group, self-management based on group CBT training on MetS was done based on the Guide to Therapeutic Strategies in MetS and Lifestyle Interventions to Reduce MetS and Heart Disease developed by the Oxford University [25]. The intervention sessions were held in eight 90-minute sessions twice a week (Table 1).

In the first session, a pre-test was taken from each group. All sessions started with a review of the topics and presentation of homework by the samples, followed by providing explanations about the session discussion, summarizing the discussion, answering questions, and presenting homework for the session. The session was...
Table 1. Sessions of Self-management Interventions based on group cognitive behavioral therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Introduction, pre-test, metabolic syndrome</td>
<td>Introduction, statement of goals, time and manner of meetings, emphasis on the confidentiality of information, pre-test, description of metabolic syndrome, its causes, symptoms, prevention methods, diagnostic methods, and complications in simple language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Self-care and self-management</td>
<td>Self-care and self-management were taught in maintaining and promoting individual health, problem-solving skills techniques with the help of participants, and practical examples. At the end of the session, participants were asked to bring some practical examples to the next session.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cognitive behavioral therapy</td>
<td>Familiarity with cognitive-behavioral therapy, the relationship between thoughts, feelings, and behaviors with practical examples, types of cognitive errors, central spontaneous thoughts, negative spontaneous thoughts, strategies to combat cognitive distortions, teaching profit, and loss techniques and its practice in the session, and summarizing the contents and assigning the task for the next session.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Stress and anxiety</td>
<td>Familiarity with anxiety, stress, worries, and fears, training coping skills with anxiety and stress and including deep breathing exercises and relaxation techniques in theory and practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Proper nutrition and adherence to treatment instructions</td>
<td>Familiarity with the food pyramid, nutrition in people with metabolic syndrome and appropriate or inappropriate foods, how to properly use medications and adherence to the correct use of medications and foods, and completing a checklist of foods and medications until the next session.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Exercise and mobility</td>
<td>The importance of mobility in maintaining health, aerobic and anaerobic exercises, and walking and its principles. At the end of the session, participants were asked to fill a mobility and exercise checklist for the next session and bring it in the next session.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Health self-assessment, Obesity, and its control</td>
<td>Methods of health assessment, assignment evaluation and intervals, interpretation of the tests in simple language, anthropometric methods and correct measurement of height and weight and calculation of BMI, obesity and its complications, cognitive-behavioral interventions for obesity control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Prevention of relapse and behavioral methods of health promotion</td>
<td>Strategies for preventing the relapse of unhealthy behaviors, self-control, self-encouragement, self-punishment, self-talk, and encouragement by others by giving examples, and review of the instructed contents, and summarizing what has been learned during the 8 sessions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-test: Completion of the questionnaires three months after the end of the intervention:
Inviting the samples in the intervention and control group to complete questionnaires and holding a training session for the control group to teach the contents provided for the intervention group, distribution of educational pamphlets, and appreciation.

Table 1.

The Walker HPLP-II Questionnaire is a native tool for measuring health-promoting behaviors that was developed by Walker et al. in 1987. HPLP-II measures how frequently the participants are engaged in 52 health-promoting behaviors. The items are categorized into six sub-scales: Nutrition (N=9) assesses meal patterns and deals with the choice of a good and balanced diet, Physical Activity (PA=8) measures regular exercise patterns and recreational activities, Stress Management (SM=8) quantifies the ability to cope with stress and focuses on using relaxation methods to control stress, Health Responsibility (HR=9) measures the individual’s general health concerns, Spiritual Growth and self-actualization (SG=9) refers to the process of becoming conscious of
existence and a force beyond the self, and Interpersonal Relations (IR=9) assesses a sense of intimacy and deals with meaningful relationships. This tool measures the participant’s self-reported health promoting behaviors, with higher scores indicating more frequent health-promoting behaviors or well-being habits on a 4-point Likert scale, with the following choices: 1= never, 2= some times, 3= often, or 4= routinely [26]. Mohammadi Zeidi et al. in a study entitled “Validity and Reliability of the Persian version of the Health Promoting Lifestyle Questionnaire” obtained the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of 0.82 for the whole questionnaire and 0.64 to 0.91 for its components [27].

In this study, SPSS V. 20 software was used to analyze the data. First, the mean, standard deviation, and frequency of data were determined using descriptive statistics. Then, the independent t-test and Chi-square test were used to compare demographic variables in both groups. To analyze the independent variables, first, the normality of data in the two groups was also evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and the homogeneity of variances was examined. To evaluate the effect of the intervention on lifestyle dimensions by removing the pre-test and effect of post-test scores, the Univariate Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) was used. P<0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

According to the results, the mean age of the subjects in the intervention group was 49.37±10.37 years and in the control group was 48.50±9.89 years. The majority of participants were married, employed, and had a bachelor’s degree or lower levels. Also, 55% of the intervention group and 52.5% of the control group were women. No significant difference between the two groups in terms of relative frequency and mean data and the two groups were homogeneous (P<0.05). Due to the normality of the scores lifestyle and its dimensions in the pre-test and post-test and according to Table 2, there was no significant difference between the average lifestyle scores and its dimensions in the pre-test stage, but in the stage post-test, except for two dimensions of self-actualization and spiritual growth and interpersonal relationships, in other dimensions, including nutrition, exercise, and physical activity, stress management, health responsibility, and overall lifestyle, there was a significant dif-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention Group</td>
<td>Control Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>15.2±2.10</td>
<td>16.0±3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>21.8±3.16</td>
<td>17.2±4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>17.8±2.79</td>
<td>17.9±4.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>23.4±2.96</td>
<td>19.3±3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress management</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>18.5±4.07</td>
<td>18.1±4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>21.9±4.17</td>
<td>19.8±3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal relationships</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>18.1±3.78</td>
<td>17.9±4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>19.7±3.30</td>
<td>18.9±3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health responsibility</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>15.9±3.28</td>
<td>15.5±4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>18.6±4.05</td>
<td>16.0±3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-actualization &amp; spiritual growth</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>17.5±3.56</td>
<td>18.1±3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>18.6±4.04</td>
<td>19.0±3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle (total)</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>103.7±9.45</td>
<td>105.7±11.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>123.9±15.15</td>
<td>107.7±9.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ference between the two groups and post-test scores of the intervention group were higher than the control group (P<0.05).

Normal distribution of lifestyle dimensions scores and homogeneity of variances were assessed and confirmed using the Karamogorov-Smirnov test and Leven’s test, respectively. To evaluate the effect of self-management intervention based on group CBT in the intervention group the univariate ANCOVA was used (Table 3). According to the results of Table 3, self-management intervention based on group CBT had a significant effect on the dimensions of lifestyle, including exercise and physical activity dimension with an effect size of 0.51, nutrition dimension with 0.49, responsibility for health with 0.39, and stress management with an effect size of 0.32, but it did not have a significant effect on the two dimensions of self-actualization and spiritual growth and interpersonal relationships (P<0.05).

4. Discussion

The main purpose of this study was to determine the effect of self-management intervention based on group CBT on the lifestyle of adults with MetS. The results of testing the research hypothesis confirmed this hypothesis.

The use of lifestyle-enhancing programs had a positive effect on reducing CVD and improved quality of life in these patients [28]. In a study, Lu et al. examined three training methods, including self-learning, monthly training lectures, and monthly group workshops. The findings of this study showed that group workshops are the most effective educational methods in improving the knowledge of patients with hypertension and preventing long-term complications of hypertension [29].

In a meta-analysis study, Chodosh et al. examined chronic disease self-management programs in the elderly. The findings of this study showed that chronic disease self-management programs have a beneficial effect on some physiological outcomes of the diseases. For example, it controls blood sugar and blood pressure but has no effect on controlling the weight of diabetic patients. These interventions also improved the complications of arthritis [30]. Because using self-management of chronic diseases, adherence and commitment of the individual to perform protective behaviors can improve the symptoms and reduce complications caused by the disease; therefore, it increases the health-promoting behaviors of the individual.

The results of this study are in line with the results of the study by Moattari et al. entitled “The effect of self-management on metabolic control indices of insulin-dependent diabetic patients” who suggested training and the use of self-management programs for the care of diabetic patients [31]. This study is also consistent with the results reported by Zareipour et al. who showed that educational intervention for lifestyle modification is effective in gaining knowledge and changing the attitude, and behavior to control blood pressure [32]. Regarding the difference between these two studies and the present study, it can be said that these two studies did not examine the lifestyle of individuals and only measured the indicators related to a particular disease. On the other hand, these interventions were not based on self-management and CBT and did not specify the extent of the effect of the interventions in the analysis of their data to allow an accurate comparison. This study was also consistent with the research by Tandon et al. [33] and Moradi et al. [34] but was not consistent with the Sekendiza et al. study [35]. The passive educational method was used in these studies, such as lecturing or using booklets and pamphlets or only in a specific dimension of lifestyle,
such as physical activity was considered. The reason for the lack of significant differences in the post-test scores of the two dimensions of self-fulfillment and spiritual growth and interpersonal relationships was due to the fact that in the educational intervention program of the intervention group, there was no training on these two dimensions in the educational protocol.

Prevention and treatment of chronic disorders and diseases largely depend on the will of the individual to adopt self-management and self-care behaviors [36]. Self-care behaviors include a proper diet, exercising regularly, checking tests and clinical examinations at regular intervals, stress management, and abstaining from tobacco and alcohol [37]. Therefore, for the control of chronic diseases, organized education based on identifying the educational needs of clients is an as important and appropriate treatment. Increasing patients’ confidence in their ability to prevent disease is a key factor in active self-management of chronic disease prevention [38]. Cognitive therapy sessions are usually structured by a collaboratively agreed agenda. Active participation is encouraged by giving patients homework assignments to do at the intervals of the therapy sessions. Treatment involves the application of a range of cognitive and behavioral strategies designed to alter the factors that trigger, maintain, or exacerbate symptoms. The strategies are effective in helping patients to gain control over both psychological and physical symptoms [39].

Practical suggestions based on the results of this study include lifestyle modification training in adults through self-management interventions based on CBT that improve self-management of patients with MetS and improves its components. Therefore, it is suggested that in order to improve the self-management of patients with MetS on a larger scale, such interventions be considered and this method can be used in counseling and psychotherapy clinics and comprehensive health service centers. The cooperation of health psychologists and their presence in health centers can play an important role in the prevention and control of chronic diseases. It is suggested to conduct cohort and prospective research on the role of various interventions in the process of developing MetS in the population covered by medical universities and examining the predictors of MetS in society.

5. Conclusion

According to the results of this study, self-management interventions based on CBT can improve health-promoting lifestyles and some aspects of it in adults with MetS. Due to the progressive prevalence of MetS and its role as a set of risk factors in the development and spread of chronic diseases, especially diabetes and CVD, which is caused by inappropriate nutrition, inactivity, stress, obesity, and other factors related to lifestyle, people’s lives can be improved by identifying people with MetS and performing effective interventions, through the active participation of people with self-management interventions based on CBT. In this intervention, obstacles and dysfunctional patterns of healthy behavior of individuals are identified by self-control and using problem-solving methods and positive changes are made in the lifestyle of adults with MetS.
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