Journal of Research & Health Social Development & Health Promotion Research Center Vol. 4, No.1, 2014 Pages: 623-629 Original Article

1. Lecturer of Pediatrics Nursing Department, School of Nursing and Midwifery; Social Development & Health Promotion Research Center, Gonabad University of Medical Sciences, Gonabad, Iran

2. Correspondence to: Lecturer of Pediatrics Nursing Department, School of Nursing and Midwifery; Social Development & Health Promotion Research Center, Gonabad University of Medical Sciences, Gonabad, Iran

Tel/Fax: +98 533 7229025

E-mail: basiri1344@gmail. com

3. Lecturer of Medical-Surgical Nursing Department, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Gonabad University of Medical Sciences, Gonabad, Iran

 Lecturer of Midwifery Department, School of Nursing, Gonabad University of Medical Sciences, Gonabad, Iran
 BS in Nursing, Student Research

Committee, Gonabad University of Medical Sciences, Gonabad, Iran

6. BS in Nursing, Student Research Committee, Gonabad University of Medical Sciences, Gonabad, Iran

Received: 16 Jan 2013 Accepted: 28 Jan 2014

How to cite this article: Eshghizadeh M, Basiri Moghadam M, Baloochi Beydokhti T, Banafshe E, Najafi S, kiyani A. Comparison of parenting style in mothers of children with and without stuttering: a case-control study. *J Research Health*2014; 4(1): 623-629.

#### Introduction

Stuttering is a developmental speech disorder [1] and often begins during the preschool years when child's speech and language skills are developed [2]. Child's efforts to learn speech and normal stresses of development can accelerate the repeating of sounds, pauses in production, prolongation of sounds that

# Comparison of parenting style in mothers of children with and without stuttering: a casecontrol study

Maryam Eshghizadeh<sup>1</sup>, Mehdi Basiri Moghadam<sup>2</sup>, Tahereh Baloochi Beydokhti<sup>3</sup>, Elahe Banafshe<sup>4</sup>, Samaneh Najafi<sup>5</sup>, Arezo kiyani<sup>6</sup>

#### Abstract

Stuttering is an interactional disorder. Parents' relationship with children is the most effective factor on family interaction patterns. Since the progress of each child in learning language is derived from a complex combination of environmental and biological factors, this study was conducted to compare parenting style in mothers of stuttering and non-stuttering children. This case-control study was conducted, on 60 children with stuttering selected by convenience sampling as the control group among elementary school children and all children who went to the speech therapy centers as the case group and 120 children without stuttering were selected by random sampling as the control group. Two groups were matched in terms for residence, sex and age. The main variables were parenting style and stuttering. The data collection form and baumrind parenting style questionnaire were completed by mothers. Data were analyzed by SPSS-19. The mean scores were 41.3  $(\pm 3.8)$  and 42.5  $(\pm 4.2)$  for authoritative parenting style, 25.9 ( $\pm$ 3.8) and 26.4 ( $\pm$ 4.1) for permissive style, and 29.2  $(\pm 7.2)$  and 25.7  $(\pm 5.6)$  for authoritarian style in case and control groups, respectively. The mean score of authoritarian style was significantly higher in case group than in control group. The mean score of authoritarian parenting style in mothers of stuttering child was more than in mothers of children without stuttering. Therefore, further studies are recommended on parenting style and stuttering

Keywords: Child, Mother, Parenting Style, Stutter

is defined as stuttering [3]. The overall prevalence of stuttering in preschool children is 2.4% [4]. Compared to the prevalence, the incidence of stuttering is more, about 5% [4]. Stuttering has a significant effect on energy, social functioning, as well as emotional and mental health status [5]. Children with speech disabilities are at greater risk of anxiety

disorders in early adulthood [6]. The etiology of stuttering is complicated [7]. Recent studies show that different factors have a role in stuttering such as genetics, inheritance, language skills of the child and the child's ability to move his mouth when talking, the child's mood and the reaction of people who are around the child [8]. Stuttering children are more sensitive, although, mood and nature are relatively static and are genetically determined, they can be influenced by environmental factors [9]. The result of a study conducted by Anderson showed that there is a potential association between the child's nature and stuttering that can be adjusted by parental behaviors [10].

When parents are talking with a stuttering child, they may indicate a feeling of fear, anxiety, and shame, restlessnessandpity with their gesture or body movements. Their negative feeling and attitude have an effect on the child at risk for stuttering. The child feels the way he speaks is bad so tries not to stutter which will lead the child to struggle with words more. When a child is more aware about the problem in speech, he may experience a feeling of guilt, hopelessness, low self-esteem, and expect social disapproval [11]. In 2011, Lau concluded that stuttering children to their peers thought that their parents have lower attachment to their peers [7]. Parents should try to accept the child through paying attention to what he says, regardless of stuttering [3]. Self-esteem and acceptance of the child highly depend on the acceptance of the others especially parents [8]. Providing tranquility and relaxed and quiet lifestyle at home can be incredibly useful in treating stuttering [12]. Parents' communication with children is the most effective factor on family interactional patterns [13]. The relationship between parents and child and other family members can be considered as a system or network in which they interact with each other, this system affects children directly or indirectly through different parenting styles [14]. Parenting style means the methods parents apply to educate their children and includes criteria and regulations that they set for their children [15]. Baum rind classified parenting styles into three types of authoritative, permissive and authoritarian. Each style has a different effect on development [16]. Studies suggest that the best developmental results are along with authoritative style [17]. Parents with authoritarian style expect that children obey their demands without question and they are less likely to talk with them and they may have expectations from the child that is more than his developmental ability [18]. Recent studies show that many families use inappropriate educational practices that will be the origin of fear, low self-esteem, dissatisfaction, pessimism and anxiety in their children [13]. Few studies have been conducted on parenting style and stuttering. The results of the study by Johnson showed that parents of stuttering children were more domineering, authoritarian and aggressive [19]. Since stress affects child's development and even stuttering children experience a worse situation in stressful conditions, how parents communicate with their children and the type of parenting style cannot be without effect. Given that few studies have been conducted on the relationship between parenting style and stuttering and their results are controversial, more studies are needed in this regard. Therefore, this study aimed to compare parenting styles in mothers of children with and without stuttering.

## Method

This case-control study was conducted on 3to 13-year-old children with stuttering in cities of Gonabad and Torbat-e Heydarieh in spring 2012, where 60 children with stuttering were selected through convenience sampling and 120 children without stuttering were selected through random sampling. Sample size was determined after conducting guide study and by using a ratio comparison formula at CI 95% and power of 80%. For sampling, all children attending the only Speech Therapy centers of Gonabad and Torbat-e Heydarie-h were selected as case group if they met the inclusion criteria. Because few stuttering children attended the Speech Therapy Center of Gonabad, after obtaining permission, stuttering children of elementary schools in Gonabad were identified and selected. Then for each stuttering child, two non-stuttering children were randomly selected as the control group. Two groups were matched for residence, sex and age. Inclusion criteria in clouded the age of children (313- years), not being bilingual, not having breathing difficulty, the lack of ADHD, not being mentally retarded, and willing to participate in the study. The variables were parenting style, stuttering, education, parents 'jobs, income level, child's kind of punishment by parents, the history of speech disorders in the family and stressful incidents before stuttering occurs etc. Research tools included data collection forms and Baum rind Parenting Style Questionnaire. The questionnaire was made by Baum rind (1967) after lengthy studies. The questionnaire includes 30 items: 10 for permissive parenting style, 10 for authoritative style and 10 for authoritarian style. The score range of each style is from 10 to 50. Validity of Baumrind parenting style questionnaire has been approved by Buri using discriminant validity method. Also in Iran, Esfandiari approved the content validity of the questionnaire using retest and obtained the reliability of 0.69 for permissive style, 0.77 for authoritarian style and 0.73 for authoritative style [20]. In this research, the internal consistency index of the questionnaire was equal 0.63 using Cornbrash's alpha. Construct validity was measured using varimax rotation in factor analysis method, where KMO index equaled to 0.56 and  $\chi$  2 equaled to 2030.163 in Bartlett test and significance level was set at 0.05 indicating correlation of the items in the community. According to factor analysis performed based on Kaiser's criterion, three factors were identified to explain 40% of variance of 30 variables. Data collection forms were made in two separate forms for children with and without stuttering and its validity was confirmed by content validity. Research units were identified and then Baum rind parenting style questionnaire was delivered to parents to complete through selfreport and return it to researchers. Finally, data were analyzed by the SPSS-19 and at the significance level of 0.05. To compare the mean of styles in two groups, independent t-test was used. The regression was used to examine the relationship between influential variable son stuttering and parenting style.

#### Results

Boys comprised the majority of children with stuttering with a frequency of 63.4%. Minimum and maximum age of the research units was 3 and 13 years old, respectively. The mean age of children with stuttering was 8.5 (SD 2.1) (Table 1). 18.7% of stuttering

The flowchart of evaluated study in terms	of being qualified to participate (N=220)		
Control group	Case group		
Evaluation for being qualified to participate (N=140)	Evaluation for being qualified to participate (N=7		
Excluded (N=10)	Excluded (N=10)		
Failure to meet inclusion criteria (N=6)	Failure to meet inclusion criteria (N=5)		
Reject participating (N=4)	Reject participating (N=5)		
Follo	w-up		
Failure to complete the questionnaire (N=10)	Failure to complete the questionnaire (N=5)		
Ana	lysis		
Analyzed (N=120)	Analyzed (N=60)		
Excluded from data analysis (N=0)	Excluded from data analysis (N=0)		

Group	Stuttering children	Non-stuttering children	1.00	Independent t-test		
Index	Mean (±SD)	Mean (±SD)	Mean difference Mean (±SD)		95% confidence interval	
Child's age	8.53(2.11)	8.88(2.12)	-0.350	0.3	-1.011-0.311	
Mother's age	32.1(5.4)	34.8(6.5)	-2.641	0.009	-4.6220.660	
Father's age	37.5(1.5)	39.2(4.9)	-1.705	0.188	-4.950 - 1.539	

Table 1 The comparison of mean age of children and their parents in the case and the control groups

children had history of speech disorders in family members and 1.7% had a history of speech disorders in the first degree relatives and 17% had a history of speech disorders in the second degree relatives. 34.8% of stuttering children were under treatment less than a year, and 47.7 of them for 1 to 4 years and 17.4% between 5 and 8 years.

The possible range for child's age is 3-13, for mother's age is 22-48 and for father's age is 25-72. The result of Chi-square test showed a significant difference in the education levels of mothers and fathers of children with and without stuttering (P=0.25 and P=0.032, respectively). Mothers of children in the control group had bachelor's degree or higher as compared to mothers of children in the case group. Also, both groups showed a statistically significant difference in terms of mothers 'jobs (P<0.001), a greater percentage of mothers of children in control group were employee compared to mothers of children in the incase group.

The results showed that there was a significant

 Table 2 The comparison of household income levels in the two groups of children with and without stuttering

Income levels	Insu	ıfficient	Suff	icient	Higher than	sufficient	То	tal	Chi-square
Group	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	
Stuttering children	13	21.7	44	73.3	3	5	60	100	P=0.003
Non-stuttering children	6	5.1	102	87.2	9	7.7	117		

difference in terms of gender among the first children of families (birth order) in both the case and the control groups (P=0.016). Also, there was a significant difference between the two groups in terms of income levels (P<0.003). The frequency of stuttering children was higher in families with insufficient incomes than in families with non-stuttering children (Table 2).

Both groups matched for variables of sex, age, child's age, father's age, father's job, the number of children of family, birth order, relative marriage, nightmare, fear of dark, shyness, kinds of punishment by parents and residence. Regarding the main objective of the study, the mean score of mothers' authoritarian style in the case group was more

Table 3 The comparison of mean scores of mother	s' parenting styles in the case and the control groups
---	--

	Gr			Independent		
Mothers parenting style*	Stuttering children	Non-stuttering children		P Value	t-test	
parenting style	Mean (Standard (deviation	Mean (Standard (deviation	Mean difference		Confidence 95% interval	
Authoritarian	(7.2)29.3	(5.6)25.7	3.571	0.001	5.73 - 1.411	
Authoritative	(3.8)41.3	(4.2)42.5	0.67	0.075	0.1232.51	
Permissive	(3.8)25.9	(4.1)26.4	-0.534	0.416	0.761.828	

\*The possible score range for authoritarian style is 1644-, for authoritative style is 3150- and for permissive style is 1938-.

than that in the control group and there was a statistically significant difference (P<0.02). But in terms of permissive and authoritative styles, no significant difference was observed (Table 3).

After multiple regression test, it was revealed that only the variable of authoritarian style has had a significant relationship with stuttering (R=0.3, P=0.002).

#### Discussion

The results of the study showed that mean scores of authoritarian style of parents with stuttering children was higher than mothers of children without stuttering. These findings are consistent with the results of studies by Johnson, Moncu and Depart. In their research, they concluded that parents of stuttering children were more domineering, aggressive, and persistent for themselves and their children [19]. Parents with authoritarian style are very demanding and not receptive to desires and needs of children [18] that can lead to stress in children. In a qualitative research of Lau, parents of stuttering children reported more disciplinary standards that were interpreted as further control among children. One hypothesis is that parents take more responsibility against their children communication and these disciplinary standards helped parents in this regard [7]. Basically, a first treatment step is that family members reduce their requests for child's speaking. Parents should review, reduce or remove unnecessary pressures, which help the child to experience less stress [21]. All of these cases can indirectly confirm the obtained results. Lau in the quantitative part of his study concluded that parenting style is not associated with stuttering [7]. The reason for the difference in the results of two studies is the sample size. He performed his research in two quantitative and qualitative parts on 10 children with stuttering and 10 children without stuttering. Another reason is related to the type of parenting style questionnaire. He used Parenting Bonding Instrument (PBI) that leads to four parenting styles based on the combined scores of two control and care.

Results of his research also suggested lower parental attachment scores among stuttering children compared to healthy children [7]. Anderson in his research on 32 stuttering children and 28 non-stuttering children concluded that parents of both groups were matched for behavior and attitude and beliefs and attitudes on parenting styles [10], which is inconsistent with the results of the current research. The reason for the discrepancy is the difference in the sample size and the type of parenting practices questionnaire. However in this study, a significant difference was observed between parenting and child's mood that suggests that stuttering can be adjusted by interaction between child's mood and parental behavior [10]. Lasagna et al. conducted a case-control study titled 'parenting practices in parents of stuttering child 'on 143 families with stuttering child and 191 families with non-stuttering child. The variable of parenting had three components of compatibility, adoption, and punishment and results showed that parent's of stuttering children had significantly lower scores for compatibility, butno significant difference was observed for other components [22]. The quality of attachment can be a mental incentive to psychological improvement and the fluency of speech [7]. Results of the research showed that there is a significant difference between the stuttering children and on-stuttering children in terms of parents' education, mother's job, and income level. In a study titled "prevalence of speech disorders in Tehran elementary school students",

in Tehran elementary school students", a significant relationship was observed between speech disorder and variables of parents' education level and job [23], which are consistent with the results of the present research. Nelson states social deprivation as one of the factors effective in stuttering [24]. Socioeconomic status from the second year of life has a positive correlation with cognitive development and language [18]. Instructions, criticism and physical punishment in families with low socioeconomic status are observed more. When these parents are at home, they expect their children to obey them. The parents with high socioeconomic status talk with their children more and encourage them and give them more freedom to explore [18].

Results of the research revealed that this disorder is more prevalent among boys, which confirms previous literature on this topic. At the onset of this disorder the ratio is equal in both genders, but studies show that about the children who continue to stutter, the number of stuttering boys is three to four times higher than girls in school-age. Girls are more likely to recover from stuttering than boys. One reason is the inherent differences in verbal abilities and language of girls compared to boys. Another reason is that parents and family members often react differently to boys compared to girls [3], which again suggest the role of parents and their educational practices. Hence, it is recommended that studies be conducted to teach parenting styles and their effects on stuttering treatment. In this research, parents 'accuracy to answer questions was beyond the control of the researcher that is considered one of the limitations of the study. Although these results can be attributed to the community, since the case group in this research was selected through non-random method because of the low number of stuttering children, the results can be used for future studies with larger sample sizes.

## Conclusion

The mean scores of authoritarian parenting style of mothers with stuttering children was higher than mothers with non-stuttering children and shows that there is a significant relationship between parenting style and stuttering, but the current study cannot evaluate the causal effect of parenting style on stuttering. Therefore, further studies are needed so as to use their results, if necessary, for treatment of stuttering.

#### Acknowledgements

The present research was done with the support of the Student Research Committee of Gonabad University of Medical Science. Further, the authors express their thanks to the Department of Student Research Committee and parents who help us to conduct this research.

## Contributions

Study design: MA, TB, MB, AB Data collection and analysis: MA, TB, MB, SN Manuscript preparation: MA, TB, MB, AB, AK

# **Conflict of Interest**

"The authors declare that they have no competing interests."

# References

1. Smith A, Goffman L, Sassekaran J, Weber-Fox C. Language and motor abilities of preschool children who stutter: evidence from behavioral and kinematic indices of nonword repetition performance. *J Fluency Disord*2012 [4 screens]. Available at URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. fludis.htm.Accessed. May 1 2012.

2. Kloth S, Janssen P, Kraaimaat F, Brutten G. Child and mother variables in the development of stuttering among high-risk children: a longitudinal study. *Journal Fluency Disorder*1998; 23: 217-30.

3. Guitar B, Conture EG. The child who stutters: to the pediatrician.2007.4th. USA: stuttering foundation of america publication;2007, Available at URL: http://www.stutteringhelp. org.htm.Accessed. May 1 2007.

4. Rautakoski P, Hannus T, Simberg S, Sandnabba K, Santtila P. Genetic and environmental effects on stuttering: a twin study from finland. *J Fluency Disord*2012; 37(3): 202-10.

5. Craig A, Blumgart E, Tran Y. The impact of stuttering on the quality of life in adults who stutter. *J Fluency Disord*2009; 34(2): 61-71.

6. Ezrati R, Levin I. The relationship between anxiety and stuttering: a multidimensional approach. *J Fluency Disord*2004; 29(2): 135-148 7. lau SR, Beilby J, Byrnes M, Hennessey N. Parenting styles and attachment in schoolaged children who stutter. *J Communication Disord*2012; 45(2): 98-110.

8. Yaruss S, Coleman C. Information for parents of young children who stutter. The stuttering center of Western Pensylvania Available at URL: http://www.stuttering center. org.htm.Accessed. May 1 2012.

9. Anderson JD,Pellowski MW, Conture EG, Kelly EM. Temperamental Characteristics of Young Children Who Stutter. *J Speech Lang Hear Res*2003; 46(5): 1221–33.

10. Anderson J, Bates J. Temperament, Parenting Styles, and Childhood Stuttering. 5th World Congress on Fluency Disorders; 2006; Friday, July 28, 2006 Dublin, Ireland Available at URL: http://www.Thelfa.org.htm.Accessed. Dec 3 2012.

11. Starkweather W, Gottwald SHR, Halfond M. Stuttering prevention: a manual forparents. Temple University Stuttering Prevention Clinic: available at URL: http://www.mnsu.edu/comdis/kuster/Parents/starkweather.html. Accessed. Jan 2 2012.

12. Stuttering: shattering the myths, cape cod speech and language 2004. Available at URL: http://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Speech-Language\_ Pathology/Stuttering/Development\_of\_ Childhood\_Stuttering.htm.Accessed. May 1 2012. 13. Alizadeh H. Andris C. Interaction of parenting styles and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in Iranian parents. *J child Fam Behav Ther*2002: 24(3): 37-52. [In Persian]

14. Pourahmadi E, Jalali M, Abedin AR, Roshan R. Emotional-behavioural disorders and educational performance of 11-7 years old children with and without father. Clinical Psychology Journal; 1(2): 51-9. [In Persian]

15. Darling N,stein berg L. Parenting style as context:an integrative model. *Psychol Bull*1993: 13(3): 487-96.

16. Nanthamongkolchai S, Ngaosusitch C, Munsawaenqsubch C. Influence of parenting styles on development of children aged three to six years old. *J Med Assoc Thai*2007;90(5):971-76 17. Wake M, Nicholson J, Hardy P, Smith K. Preschooler obesity and parenting style of mothers and fathers: australian population study. *Ped*2007; 120(6): e1520-27.

18. Berk L. Development through the lifespam(vol1). Translated by: y seyed mohammadi, Tehran: arasbaran; 2007. [In Persian]

19. Fowlie GM, Cooper EB. Traits attributed to stuttering and non stuttering children by their

mothers. *Journal of Fluency Disorders*1978 (3): 233-46.

20. Hosseinpoor K. Survey influence of parenting styles of mothers on signs of anxity, stress, depression of child. Requirement for the degree of master of science, psychology school, Mashhad Ferdowsi university, 2002. [In Persian]

21. Some suggestions for families of young children who stutter. The stuttering center of western pensylvania. 2004 Available at: URL: http://www.stuttering center.org.htm. Accessed. Sep 5 2004.

22. Lasogga F, Wedemeyer M. The childrearing practices of parents of stuttering children. *Zeitschriftfür Klinische Psychologie*1979; 8(4): 270-82.

23. Shahbodaghi MR. Prevalence of speech and language disorders in Tehran primary school girls. *Journal of Rehabilitation*2003; 3(4): 20-6. [In Persian]

24. Kligman R, Jenson H, Marcdante K, Behrman R. Nelson essentials of pediatrice,5<sup>th</sup>ed, 2006. Translated by: F Ghorbani, B Bouzari, S KhorramNia, S Otoukesh and J seyedhosseini. Tehran:Teimourzadeh; 2006. [In Persian]